



Disinfection for Onsite Wastewater Treatment Systems

Course Number: CH-02-219

PDH: 3

Approved for: AK, AL, AR, DE, FL, GA, IA, ID, IL, IN, KS, KY, LA, MD, ME, MI, MN, MO, MS, MT, NC, ND, NE, NH, NJ, NM, NV, NY, OH, OK, OR, PA, SC, SD, TN, TX, UT, VA, VT, WI, WV, and WY

State Board Approvals

Florida Provider # 0009553 License #868

Indiana Continuing Education Provider #CE21800088

Maryland Approved Provider of Continuing Professional Competency

New Jersey Professional Competency Approval #24GP00025600

North Carolina Approved Sponsor #S-0695

NYSED Sponsor #274

How Our Written Courses Work

This document is the course text. You may review this material at your leisure before or after you purchase the course.

After the course has been purchased, review the technical material and then complete the quiz at your convenience.

A Certificate of Completion is available once you pass the exam (70% or greater).

If a passing grade is not obtained, you may take the quiz as many times as necessary until a passing grade is obtained).

If you have any questions or technical difficulties, please call (508) 298-4787 or email us at admin@PDH Pro.com.





Module 1: Introduction

Learning Objectives

By the end of this section, you will be able to:

- **Identify** the public health risks associated with traditional decentralized wastewater disposal methods.
- **Evaluate** the critical need for integrated disinfection processes in small-flow wastewater systems.
- **Identify** the unique operational and reliability challenges inherent in decentralized wastewater management.

Executive Summary: Traditional onsite wastewater systems often prioritize inexpensive disposal over pathogen removal, potentially degrading groundwater quality. Incorporating reliable disinfection processes is critical to providing a necessary barrier against pathogens, yet small systems face unique challenges due to a lack of redundancy and the difficulty of implementing consistent maintenance.

Groundwater Protection and Public Health

The infiltration of partially treated wastewater effluent into the soil is a standard practice for many onsite and decentralized systems. However, this practice carries a significant risk of degrading **groundwater quality**.

- **Pathogenic Transfer:** The primary concern for engineers is the transfer of pathogenic constituents from wastewater to groundwater resources.
- **Design Limitations:** While soil percolation can provide some natural disinfection, most traditional soil infiltration systems are designed for **inexpensive disposal** rather than optimized pathogen removal.
- **System Evolution:** Integrating a dedicated disinfection process into decentralized treatment serves as a secondary barrier to protect both public health and environmental resources.

The Decentralized Problem Statement

Designing and managing disinfection for small-flow applications presents hurdles not typically found in centralized municipal plants.

Maintenance Realities

Because decentralized systems are dispersed, implementing proper maintenance procedures is often difficult. A disinfection process may be required to operate **autonomously and reliably** for extended periods between professional service visits.

The Reliability Gap

- **Lack of Redundancy:** Unlike large-scale facilities, most onsite applications do not utilize **redundant systems** to ensure continuous performance during component failure.
- **Information Scarcity:** There is currently limited data available to establish the specific maintenance intervals required to keep small-flow disinfection units performing to high standards.
- **Manufacturer Limitations:** Standard manufacturer recommendations often fail to account for the extreme **variability** found in individual treatment facilities and site-specific conditions.

⚠ **Safety Constraint:** In decentralized applications where the effluent may impact sensitive groundwater, disinfection is not optional; it is a critical barrier against pathogens that requires verifiable reliability.

Course Objectives and Methodology

This course evaluates commercially available disinfection units to provide engineers with data-driven selection criteria.

Primary Evaluation Metrics

1. **Disinfection Performance:** Quantified through the measurement of **MS2 coliphage**, total coliform, and fecal coliform.
2. **Reliability and Constraints:** Identifying the operational limits of various methodologies.
3. **Maintenance Requirements:** Determining the necessary frequency of service.
4. **Economic Factors:** Estimating the total cost of installation and operation.

Course Organization

The technical content is structured to guide the professional through the lifecycle of disinfection system selection:

- **System Introduction:** Overview of small-flow design challenges.
- **Methodology:** Evaluation protocols and pretreatment system characteristics.
- **Performance Assessment:** Comparative analysis of performance, maintenance, and costs.
- **Conclusion:** Summary of findings and engineering recommendations.

💡 **Design Tip:** When selecting a disinfection unit, engineers must look beyond "rated capacity" and consider the site-specific water quality and the owner's ability to perform long-term maintenance.

Checkpoint Quiz

1. Why is traditional soil infiltration often considered inadequate for public health protection?

- a) The soil becomes saturated too quickly for disposal.
- b) Most systems are designed for inexpensive disposal rather than optimized disinfection.
- c) Soil percolation has been proven to have zero effect on pathogen removal.
- d) The systems are too expensive to maintain over time.

Answer: (b). While some disinfection occurs in soil, these systems are traditionally optimized for disposal, not as a designed barrier against pathogens.

2. Which of the following is a primary challenge in managing decentralized disinfection systems?

- a) Excessive redundancy leading to high costs.
- b) Lack of available disinfection technologies.
- c) Dispersed nature of systems making consistent maintenance difficult.
- d) Over-reliance on highly specific manufacturer data.

Answer: (c). The dispersed nature of these systems makes it difficult to implement the regular maintenance procedures required for reliable operation.

3. How was disinfection performance specifically measured in the study referenced in this text?

- a) By measuring the clarity and turbidity of the final effluent.
- b) Through the quantification of MS2 coliphage, total coliform, and fecal coliform.
- c) By calculating the biological oxygen demand (BOD) reduction.
- d) Through the measurement of nitrogen and phosphorus levels.

Answer: (b). Disinfection performance was determined specifically by tracking these three biological indicators.

Module 2: Background

Learning Objectives

By the end of this section, you will be able to:

- **Evaluate** various disinfection technologies available for small-flow wastewater applications and their specific constraints.
- **Calculate** chlorine demand and dose requirements based on wastewater source and pH levels.
- **Determine** appropriate design parameters for UV disinfection systems, including transmittance and dosage.

Executive Summary: While conventional onsite systems were historically perceived to have minimal groundwater impact, research confirms their potential for contamination. Modern decentralized management requires effective disinfection, primarily through chlorination (sodium/calcium hypochlorite) or UV light. Each method presents specific engineering trade-offs regarding cost, reliability, and maintenance requirements.

Disinfection Processes for Small Wastewater Flows

The most common disinfectants for small systems are **sodium hypochlorite**, **calcium hypochlorite**, and **UV light**. Other methodologies like ozonation and peracetic acid exist but face significant economic or logistical hurdles.

Table 1: Summary of disinfectants used for disinfection of small wastewater flows

Disinfectant	Formula	Form	Constraints or concerns for application to small flows
Sodium hypochlorite	NaOCl	Liquid	Corrosive, toxic, formation of carcinogenic by-products, requires chemical feed system, effectiveness may depend on water quality
Calcium hypochlorite	Ca(OCl) ₂	Solid tablet	Corrosive, toxic, formation of carcinogenic by-products, requires tablet feed system, effectiveness may depend on water quality, non-uniform tablet erosion may affect dose
Ozone	O ₃	Gas	Corrosive, toxic, requires a feed gas preparation unit and a pump for injection of ozone, effectiveness may depend on water quality, high output systems will require ozone off-gas destruction
Peracetic acid	CH ₃ CO ₃ H	Liquid	Corrosive, toxic, not commercially available, requires a chemical feed system, effectiveness may depend on water quality
Ultraviolet (UV) light	-	UV radiation	Requires periodic lamp maintenance and replacement, fouling can reduce effectiveness, performance sensitive to water quality
Biological filtration	-	Enzymatic activity, predation	Size of filter may be a limitation, expense of obtaining appropriate media, additional research needed to define design, operation, and reliability
Membrane filtration	-	Size exclusion	Dense membranes capable of excluding pathogens, e.g. reverse osmosis, require substantial wastewater pretreatment, energy and maintenance intensive
Pasteurization	-	Heat energy	Energy intensive and process not commercially available

Sodium Hypochlorite (NaOCl)


NaOCl is a powerful oxidizing agent typically used in concentrations of 1.5% to 15%. It is added via **metering pumps** or **suction injectors**.

- **Storage:** Must be kept in a cool, dark area in non-corrosive containers as higher concentrations decompose rapidly.
- **Free Chlorine:** In water, it forms hypochlorous acid (HOCl) and hypochlorite ion (OCl⁻). HOCl is approximately **100 times more effective**, making it desirable to maintain pH below the pK value of 7.6.
- **Demand Factors:** Reduced compounds like sulfide, ferrous iron, and ammonia consume free chlorine. The reaction with ammonia produces **chloramines** (combined chlorine), which are slower reacting but provide long-lasting residuals.

Table 2: Chlorine demand and dose guidelines for domestic wastewaters

Wastewater source	Typical chlorine demand, mg/L	Recommended chlorine dose, mg/L, at given pH		
		6	7	8
Septic tank effluent	30 to 45	35 to 50	40 to 55	50 to 65
Activated sludge type treatment effluent	10 to 25	15 to 30	30 to 35	30 to 45
Packed bed (e.g., sand) filter effluent	1 to 5	2 to 10	10 to 20	20 to 35

^a From U.S. EPA (1980)

 **Calculation Note:** Chlorine dose is the sum of the chlorine demand and the required chlorine residual.

Calcium Hypochlorite [Ca(OCl)₂]

This dry form of chlorine (typically 70% available chlorine) is available as powder, granules, pellets, or tablets.

- **Application:** Applied via passive **tablet feeders** or side-stream chlorination.
- **Reliability Concerns:** Field studies show poor reliability, with 93% of samples in one study exceeding 200 MPN/100 mL of fecal coliform. Common issues include internal blockage and non-uniform tablet erosion.

Ozone (O₃)

Ozone is a highly effective oxidant used for disinfection, odor reduction, and color removal.

- **Implementation:** Requires oxygen-fed corona discharge generators and pressurized contactors.



Purchase this course to
see the remainder of
the technical materials.